

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND DIVISION**

J. DOE 1, et al.,
Individual and Representative Plaintiffs,
v.
GITHUB, INC., et al.,
Defendants.

Case Nos. 4:22-cv-06823-JST
4:22-cv-07074-JST

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO REMOVE
INCORRECTLY FILED DOCUMENT**

1 The Court has considered Plaintiffs' Motion to Remove Incorrectly Filed Document (the
2 "Motion"). The Court hereby orders the previously filed Second Amended Complaint (ECF No. 198)
3 permanently removed from the Court's public docket and ECF system and that the later-filed redacted
4 copy of the Second Amended Complaint, ECF No. __, shall be treated as the operative document instead.
5 The Court further orders all third party docket reporting websites to remove the previous erroneously filed
6 version of the Second Amended Complaint and replace it with the appropriately redacted version,
7 including but not limited to the websites: CourtListener.com; casetext.com; leagle.com; law360.com;
8 unicourt.com; govinfo.gov; uspto.gov; casemine.com; and bloomberglaw.com.

9
10 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

11
12 Dated:

13 HON. JON S. TIGAR
14 United States District Judge
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28